

Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences

> 香港城市大學 City University of Hong Kong in collaboration with Cornell University

Enhanced Accessibility to Modeling of ASFV Transmission Dynamics for Policymakers

Dirk U. Pfeiffer

(City University of Hong Kong and Royal Veterinary College, London)

Guillaume Fournie (INRAE, France); Petra Muellner (EPI-interactive, New Zealand); Uli Muellner (EPI-interactive, New Zealand); Angus Lam (City University of Hong Kong); Tu Tu Zaw Win (City University of Hong Kong); Younjung Kim (INSERM, France); Theethawat Uea-Anuwong (City University of Hong Kong); Sergio Guerrero-Sanchez (City University of Hong Kong); Anne Conan (City University of Hong Kong)



QS] World University Rankings 2024





[QS] World University Rankings 2023 Veterinary Science



Dynamic Modelling of ASFV





Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences



Modelling of Temporal ASFV Transmission Dynamics

- ASFV transmission = non-linear process subject to uncertainty
 - involves combination of no. of pigs in different infection states, state transition probabilities and duration in different infection states
 - cannot be adequately considered using mental arithmetic or linear causeeffect thinking
- ASFV risk management
 - prevention, surveillance for early detection, response
 - use models to identify key parameters and their uncertainty (e.g. likely prevalence of infection, time since ASFV introduction, target of percent vaccinated and/or culled)





Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences

collaboration with Cornell University



Susceptible, Exposed, Infectious, Recovered (SEIR) Model



•
$$S_{t+1} = S_t - \beta * I_t * S_t$$

 rate of transmission β = (contact rate) *times* (probability of transmission)

•
$$E_{t+1} = E_t + \beta * I_t * S_t - \alpha * E_t$$

• average latent period = 1 / α

•
$$I_{t+1} = I_t + \alpha * E_t - \gamma * I_t$$

• average infectious period = 1 / γ

•
$$R_{t+1} = R_t + \gamma * I_t$$

Adapted from Fournie 2011

Assumptions: Infection with ASFV (Georgia 2007/1) and introduced ASFV- infected animal is infectious immediately after introduction

ASFV infection by exposure to introduced animal



From: Guinat, C., et al. (2016). "Transmission routes of African swine fever virus to domestic pigs: current knowledge and future research directions." Veterinary Record 178(11).

Days since infection

Model Transmission Parameters

Parameter	Value	Interpretation
Daily number of effective contacts per unit (beta or transmission rate)	0.62	An effective contact is a contact that would result in the transmission of infection if it occurs between a susceptible and an infectious unit. This is also called beta
Length of latent period (days)	4	The average number of days that a unit is infected but not infectious; i.e. length of time from infection to onset of infectiousness.
Length of asymptomatic infectious period (days)	1.5	The average number of days that a unit is infectious without showing clinical signs; i.e. length of time from start of infectiousness to onset of clinical signs.
Length of symptomatic infectious period (days)	6.5	The average number of days that a unit is infectious while showing clinical signs; i.e. length of time from onset of clinical signs to the end of infectiousness.

Within-Farm Transmission Parameters for ASFV Genotype II

Study type	Transmission scenario	Latent period (days)	Transmission rate (beta)	Infectious period (days)	References
Experiment	within pen	5 (Hu et al 2017:	1.17 (Nielsen et al 2017: 1.05)	8.5 (3-6 or 3-14; 1-2 days	Guinat et al 2016 corrigendum
	between pen	.08)	0.61 (Nielsen et al 2017: 0.46)	, asymptomatic) (Hu et al: 9.15)	
Farms with outbreaks	whole farm (fattening pigs)	5.8-9.7	0.7 – 2.2	4.5 – 8.3	Guinat et al 2017

Epidemix for ASFV Modelling





Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences



Mathematical Modelling Tool





About

Contact

https://epidemix.app/

Visually explore spatiotemporal trends in disease transmission and improve your understanding of disease modelling.











Powered by

Select model type 🚯 😔 Generic 🄇 **Disease-specific**

African Swine Fever (ASF) 📻

The ASF model is pre-populated with published parameter settings for ASF (Guinat et al. 2016) and includes an extended functionality which allows users Read more



C Reset parameters

Select infection states to consider

Current selection

S, E, la, Is

All units are removed at end of infectious period Removed units are not replaced (closed population selected) At the end of the infectious period: All units are removed

Visualisation	Data table	Parameter compare	Scenario exercise	? Take a to
The graph shows	the median nur s on the x-axis.	mber of units in each infe	ction state over time and over all	simulations. The time is
Chart zoom - click	k and drag on th	e chart to zoom in, doubl	e-click to zoom out.	
Click on the infec	tion states below	w to select or unselect the	em.	

Roll over the lines to see the number of units for a selected infection state over time. Please use the sliders below to add delays in the disease control process to investigate their impact on model outputs.

Click 'submit' to update outputs after changing parameters in the left panel.

🗠 Submit

Infection to suspicion (days) 🚯 Suspicion to detection (days) 🚯

Detection to culling (days) 🚯



Define host population features ()

Current selection Population size = 100 Closed population

ピ Edit

Define infection and transmission features ()

Current selection Number of infected units at start of simulation = 1 Daily number of effective contacts per unit = 1.17 Length of latent period (days) = 5 Length of asymptomatic infectious period (days) = 1.5 Length of symptomatic infectious period (days) = 6.5 Frequency-dependent transmission

ピ Edit

Choose control strategy ()

Current selection

ピ Edit

Set simulation parameters ()

Current selection Number of simulations = 50 Length of a simulation (days) = 100





Cumulative no. of pigs experiencing infectiousness

Dead pigs







Powered by **EPI-**interactive



At the end of the infectious period: All units are removed

C Edit

Define host population features ()

Current selection

model preconfigured with transmission parameters for ASF virus from a published study (Guinat et al., 2016). You will find additional information about the details of the model through the blue info button next to "Select model type", or in this journal article by Faverjon et al., 2021 (2021). The outputs generated by this model can be used to inform the





Modelling of Cumulative Number of ASFV Infectious Pig Days over Time in Group of 100 Susceptible Pigs



Conclusions





Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences



Conclusions

- temporal transmission dynamics of ASFV
 - cannot be adequately captured by mental arithmetic, because of non-linearity and uncertainty
 - numerical relationship between
 - contact rate and probability of transmission
 - latent, asymptomatic infectious and symptomatic infectious periods
 - dynamic models allow expression of temporal dynamics and their uncertainty exploration
- prevention, early detection and control strategies
 - need to consider temporal transmission dynamics of ASFV when
 - aiming to optimise detection
 - trying to identify source of outbreak, and inform backward and forward tracing
 - explore potential control interventions
 - explore impact of changes in ASFV virus in clinical expression, probability of transmission etc
- Epidemix provides accessible tool for examining relevant scenarios without need for mathematical training
 - working on adding case studies for spatial spread and inclusion of artificial intelligence to optimise control responses





Jockey Club College of Veterinar Medicine and Life Sciences

ollaboration with Cornell Universit



Thank You for Your Attention!







Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences

